STUDYING CONFIRMATION BIAS IN HASHTAG USAGE ON TWITTER **DOMINIK KOWALD & ELISABETH LEX**

KNOW-CENTER GMBH & GRAZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY DKOWALD@KNOW-CENTER.AT & ELISABETH.LEX@TUGRAZ.AT



GOAL

- Confirmation bias and filter bubble effects are a current problem in social platforms such as Twitter.
- The heavy **reuse of hashtags** that are popular in the personal Twitter networks (i.e., own hashtags or hashtags of followees) can foster these effects.
- We study confirmation bias in Twitter by treating the **reuse of hashtags as a**

Result 1: Individual and Social Hashtag Reuse

Is their a relationship between confirmation bias and the usage of hashtags in Twitter? Or: do people tend to reuse their own hashtags and/or the hashtags of their followees?



proxy for confirmation bias.

METHOD

- 1. We crawl two datasets from Twitter, where *CompSci* consists of researchers from the field of computer science and their followees, while dataset consists of random people and their followees (see **dataset statictics** table below).
- 2. For all the seed users (i.e., $|U_S|$), we analyze (i) individual hashtag reuse (i.e., reusing own hashtags), and (ii) social hashtag reuse (i.e., reusing hashtags of followees) with respect to hashtag usage types (see **Result 1**) and temporal effects (see **Result 2**).
- 3. We analyze how hashtag recommendation algorithms are affected by con-

We find that between 66% and 81% of hashtag assignments can be explained by individual or social hashtag usage (i.e., the sum of "individual", "social" and "individual/social"). This is an indication of confirmation bias in hashtag usage on Twitter.

Result 2: Temporal Effects on Hashtag Reuse

Are temporal effects affecting this confirmation bias? Or: do people tend to reuse hashtags that were used recently by their own and/or their followees?



(d) Social hashtag reuse (a) Individual hashtag reuse (b) Individual hashtag reuse (c) Social hashtag reuse *CompSci* dataset Random dataset *CompSci* dataset *Random* dataset

firmation bias (see **Result 3**) and how these effects could be tackled (see **Dis**cussion).

| Dataset | $ U_S $ | U | T | HTAS |
|---------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|
| CompSci | 2,551 | 91,776 | 5,649,359 | 9,161,842 |
| Random | 3,466 | 127,112 | 8,157,702 | 13,628,750 |

DISCUSSION

- recommendations • Accurate foster hashtag reuse and thus, confirmation bias and filter bubbles effects in our two Twitter datasets.
- We should focus on **beyond-accuracy** metrics of recommender systems such as diversity and serendipity.
- See our other poster: "Mitigating Confirmation Bias on Twitter by Recommending Opposing Views".

People tend to reuse hashtags that were used very recently by their own and/or by their **Twitter followees**. According to a Likelihood-Ratio test, **a power function is better suited to** model this time-dependent decay than an exponential one.

Result 3: Hashtag Recommendations in Twitter

Are hashtag recommendation algorithms affected by this confirmation bias? Or: does an algorithm that focuses on hashtag reuse provide accurate recommendations?



FULL PAPER & FRAMEWORK

- Kowald, D., Pujari, S., and Lex, E. Temporal effects on hashtag reuse in Twitter: A cognitive-inspired hashtag recommendation approach. In *Proc. of WWW'2017*. ACM.
- [2] Kowald, D., Kopeinik, S., and Lex., E. The TagRec Framework as a Toolkit for the Development of Tag-Based Recommender Systems. In Proc. of UMAP'2017. ACM.



https://github.com/learning-layers/TagRec/